

On numbers  $n$  with polynomial image coprime with the  $n$ th term of a linear recurrence

*Original*

On numbers  $n$  with polynomial image coprime with the  $n$ th term of a linear recurrence / Mastrostefano, Daniele; Sanna, Carlo. - In: BULLETIN OF THE AUSTRALIAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY. - ISSN 0004-9727. - STAMPA. - 99:1(2019), pp. 23-33. [10.1017/S0004972718000606]

*Availability:*

This version is available at: 11583/2722601 since: 2020-05-03T09:56:33Z

*Publisher:*

AUSTRALIAN MATHEMATICS PUBL ASSOC INC

*Published*

DOI:10.1017/S0004972718000606

*Terms of use:*

openAccess

This article is made available under terms and conditions as specified in the corresponding bibliographic description in the repository

*Publisher copyright*

(Article begins on next page)

# ON NUMBERS $n$ WITH POLYNOMIAL IMAGE COPRIME WITH THE $n$ TH TERM OF A LINEAR RECURRENCE

DANIELE MASTROSTEFANO AND CARLO SANNA<sup>†</sup>

ABSTRACT. Let  $F$  be an integral linear recurrence,  $G$  be an integer-valued polynomial splitting over the rationals, and  $h$  be a positive integer. Also, let  $\mathcal{A}_{F,G,h}$  be the set of all natural numbers  $n$  such that  $\gcd(F(n), G(n)) = h$ . We prove that  $\mathcal{A}_{F,G,h}$  has a natural density. Moreover, assuming  $F$  is non-degenerate and  $G$  has no fixed divisors, we show that  $\mathbf{d}(\mathcal{A}_{F,G,1}) = 0$  if and only if  $\mathcal{A}_{F,G,1}$  is finite.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

An *integral linear recurrence* is a sequence of integers  $F(n)_{n \geq 0}$  such that

$$(1.1) \quad F(n) = a_1 F(n-1) + \cdots + a_k F(n-k),$$

for all integers  $n \geq k$ , for some fixed  $a_1, \dots, a_k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , with  $a_k \neq 0$ . We recall that  $F$  is said to be *non-degenerate* if none of the ratios  $\alpha_i/\alpha_j$  ( $i \neq j$ ) is a root of unity, where  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r \in \mathbb{C}^*$  are all the pairwise distinct roots of the *characteristic polynomial*

$$\psi_F(X) = X^k - a_1 X^{k-1} - \cdots - a_k.$$

Moreover,  $F$  is said to be a *Lucas sequence* if  $F(0) = 0, F(1) = 1$ , and  $k = 2$ . In particular, the Lucas sequence with  $a_1 = a_2 = 1$  is known as the *Fibonacci sequence*. We refer the reader to [9, Ch. 1–8] for the basic terminology and theory of linear recurrences.

Given two integral linear recurrences  $F$  and  $G$ , the arithmetic relations between the corresponding terms  $F(n)$  and  $G(n)$  have interested many authors. For instance, the study of the positive integers  $n$  such that  $G(n)$  divides  $F(n)$  is a classic problem which goes back to Pisot, and the major results have been given by van der Poorten [25], Corvaja and Zannier [5, 6]. (See also [15] for a proof of the last remark in [6].) In particular, the special case in which  $G = I$ , where  $I$  is the identity sequence given by  $I(n) = n$  for all integers  $n$ , has attracted much attention; with results given by Alba González, Luca, Pomerance, and Shparlinski [2], under the hypothesis that  $F$  is simple and non-degenerate, and by André-Jeannin [3], Luca and Tron [14], Sanna [16], Smyth [23], and Somer [24], when  $F$  is a Lucas sequence or the Fibonacci sequence.

Furthermore, for large classes of integral linear recurrences  $F, G$ , upper bounds for  $\gcd(F(n), G(n))$  have been proved by Bugeaud, Corvaja, and Zannier [4], and by Fuchs [10]. Also, Leonetti and Sanna [13] studied the integers of the form  $\gcd(F(n), n)$ , when  $F$  is the Fibonacci sequence; while Sanna [17] determined all the moments of the function  $n \mapsto \log(\gcd(F(n), n))$ , for any non-degenerate Lucas sequence  $F$ .

For two integral linear recurrences  $F, G$  and a positive integer  $h$ , let us define

$$\mathcal{A}_{F,G,h} := \{n \in \mathbb{N} : \gcd(F(n), G(n)) = h\},$$

and put also  $\mathcal{A}_{F,G} := \mathcal{A}_{F,G,1}$ . Sanna [18] proved the following result on  $\mathcal{A}_{F,I}$ .

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $F$  be a non-degenerate integral linear recurrence. Then the set  $\mathcal{A}_{F,I}$  has a natural density. Moreover, if  $F/I$  is not a linear recurrence (of rational numbers) then  $\mathbf{d}(\mathcal{A}_{F,I}) > 0$ . Otherwise,  $\mathcal{A}_{F,I}$  is finite and, a fortiori,  $\mathbf{d}(\mathcal{A}_{F,I}) = 0$ .*

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary: 11B37. Secondary: 11A07, 11B39, 11N25.

*Key words and phrases*. greatest common divisor; linear recurrences; natural densities.

<sup>†</sup> C. Sanna is a member of INdAM group GNSAGA.

In the special case of the Fibonacci sequence, Sanna and Tron [19] gave a more precise result:

**Theorem 1.2.** *Assume  $F$  is the Fibonacci sequence. Then, for each positive integer  $h$ , the natural density of  $\mathcal{A}_{F,I,h}$  exists and is given by*

$$\mathbf{d}(\mathcal{A}_{F,I,h}) = \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(d)}{\text{lcm}(dh, z(dh))},$$

where  $\mu$  is the Möbius function and  $z(m)$  denotes the least positive integer  $n$  such that  $m$  divides  $F(n)$ . Moreover,  $\mathbf{d}(\mathcal{A}_{F,I,h}) > 0$  if and only if  $\mathcal{A}_{F,I,h} \neq \emptyset$  if and only if  $h = \gcd(\ell, F_{\ell})$  with  $\ell := \text{lcm}(h, z(h))$ .

Also, they pointed out that their result can be extended to any non-degenerate Lucas sequence  $F$  with  $\gcd(a_1, a_2) = 1$ ; while Kim [12] gave an analog result for elliptic divisibility sequences.

Trying to extend the previous result to  $\mathcal{A}_{F,G,h}$  for two arbitrary integral linear recurrences is quite tempting. However, already establishing if the set  $\mathcal{A}_{F,G}$  is infinite seems too difficult for the current methods. Indeed, the following conjecture of Ailon and Rudnick [1] is open.

**Conjecture 1.3.** *Let  $a, b$  be two multiplicatively independent non-zero integers with  $\gcd(a-1, b-1) = 1$ . Then, for the linear recurrences  $F(n) = a^n - 1$  and  $G(n) = b^n - 1$ , the set  $\mathcal{A}_{F,G}$  is infinite.*

In this paper, we focus on the special case in which the linear recurrence  $G$  is an integer-valued polynomial splitting over the rationals. Our main result is the following:

**Theorem 1.4.** *Let  $F$  be an integral linear recurrence,  $G$  be an integer-valued polynomial with all roots in  $\mathbb{Q}$ , and  $h$  be a positive integer. Then, the set  $\mathcal{A}_{F,G,h}$  has a natural density. Moreover, if  $F$  is non-degenerate and  $G$  has no fixed divisors (and  $h = 1$ ), then  $\mathbf{d}(\mathcal{A}_{F,G}) = 0$  if and only if  $\mathcal{A}_{F,G}$  is finite.*

It would be interesting to prove Theorem 1.4 for any integer-valued polynomial  $G$ , dropping the hypothesis that all the roots of  $G$  must be rational or eliminating the presence of a fixed divisor. However, doing so presents some difficulties, which we will highlight in the last section.

**Notation.** Throughout, the letter  $p$  will always denote a prime number, and we write  $\nu_p$  for the  $p$ -adic valuation. For a set of positive integers  $\mathcal{S}$ , we put  $\mathcal{S}(x) := \mathcal{S} \cap [1, x]$  for all  $x \geq 1$ , and we recall that the natural density  $\mathbf{d}(\mathcal{S})$  of  $\mathcal{S}$  is defined as the limit of the ratio  $\#\mathcal{S}(x)/x$  as  $x \rightarrow +\infty$ , whenever this exists. We employ the Landau–Bachmann “Big Oh” and “little oh” notations  $O$  and  $o$ , as well as the associated Vinogradov symbols  $\ll$  and  $\gg$ , with their usual meanings. Any dependence of the implied constants is explicitly stated or indicated with subscripts.

## 2. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

In this section, we collect some definitions and preliminary results needed in the later proofs. Let  $F$  be a non-degenerate integral linear recurrence satisfying (1.1) and let  $\psi_F$  be its characteristic polynomial. To avoid trivialities, we assume that  $F$  is not identically zero. Moreover, let  $\mathbb{K}$  be the splitting field of  $\psi_F$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , and let  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r \in \mathbb{K}$  be all the distinct roots of  $\psi_F$ .

It is well known that there exist non-zero polynomials  $f_1, \dots, f_r \in \mathbb{K}[X]$  such that

$$(2.1) \quad F(n) = \sum_{i=1}^r f_i(n) \alpha_i^n,$$

for all integers  $n \geq 0$ . In fact, the expression (2.1) is known as the *generalized power sum* representation of  $F$  and is unique (assuming the roots  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r$  are distinct, and up to the order of the addends).

Let  $G$  be an integer-valued polynomial, and let  $h$  be a positive integer. We begin with two basic lemmas about  $\mathcal{A}_{F,G,h}$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *We have that  $\mathcal{A}_{F,G,h}$  is the disjoint union of a finite set and finitely many sets of the form  $a\mathcal{A}_{\tilde{F},\tilde{G}} + b$ , where  $a, b$  are positive integers,  $\tilde{F}$  is a non-degenerate integral linear recurrence, and  $\tilde{G}$  is an integer-valued polynomial.*

*Proof.* First, it is well known and easy to prove that there exists a positive integer  $c$  such that, setting  $F_j(m) := F(cm + j)$  for all non-negative integers  $m$  and  $j < c$ , each  $F_j$  is an integral linear recurrence which is non-degenerate or identically zero. Then,  $\mathcal{A}_{F,G,h}$  is the disjoint union of the sets  $\mathcal{A}_{F_j,G_j,h}$ , where  $G_j(m) := G_j(cm + j)$ . Thus, without loss of generality, we can assume that  $F$  is non-degenerate.

Clearly, if  $n \in \mathcal{A}_{F,G,h}$  then  $h$  divides both  $F(n)$  and  $G(n)$ . Since integral linear recurrences (and, in particular, integer-valued polynomials) are definitively periodic modulo any positive integer, there exist a finite set  $\mathcal{E}$  and positive integers  $a, b_1, \dots, b_t$  such that  $h \mid \gcd(F(n), G(n))$  if and only if  $n \in \mathcal{E}$  or  $n = am + b_i$ , for some positive integer  $m$  and some  $i \in \{1, \dots, t\}$ . Moreover, if  $n = am + b_i$ , for some integers  $m \geq 1$  and  $i \in \{1, \dots, t\}$ , then  $n \in \mathcal{A}_{F,G,h}$  if and only if  $m \in \mathcal{A}_{\tilde{F}_i,\tilde{G}_i}$ , where  $\tilde{F}_i(\ell) := F(a\ell + b_i)/h$  and  $\tilde{G}_i(\ell) := G(a\ell + b_i)/h$  for all integers  $\ell \geq 0$ . In particular,  $\tilde{F}_i$  is a non-degenerate integral linear recurrence and  $\tilde{G}_i$  is an integer-valued polynomial. So we have proved that  $\mathcal{A}_{F,G,h}$  is the disjoint union of the finite set  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $a\mathcal{A}_{\tilde{F}_i,\tilde{G}_i} + b_i$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, t$ , as desired.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.2.** *If  $G, f_1, \dots, f_r$  have a non-trivial common factor, then  $\mathcal{A}_{F,G}$  is finite.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $X - \beta$  divides each of  $G, f_1, \dots, f_r$ , for some algebraic number  $\beta$ . Let  $g \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$  be the minimal polynomial of  $\beta$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Clearly,  $g$  divides  $G$ . Also, if  $\mathbb{L}$  is the splitting field of  $gGf_1 \cdots f_r$ , then for each  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{L}/\mathbb{Q})$  we have

$$F(n) = \sigma(F(n)) = \sum_{i=1}^r (\sigma f_i)(n) (\sigma(\alpha_i))^n,$$

for all positive integers  $n$ . In particular,  $\sigma(\beta)$  is a root of each  $\sigma f_i$ , since  $\beta$  is a root of each  $f_i$ . Therefore, by the uniqueness of the generalized power sum expression of a linear recurrence, we get that  $\sigma(\beta)$  is a root of each  $f_i$  and, as a consequence,  $g$  divides each  $f_i$ . Now let  $B$  be a positive integer such that all the polynomials  $BG/g, Bf_1/g, \dots, Bf_r/g$  have coefficients which are algebraic integers. Then, it follows easily that  $BF(n)/g(n)$  and  $BG(n)/g(n)$  are both integers, for all positive integers  $n$ . (Note that  $g(n) \neq 0$  since  $g$  is irreducible in  $\mathbb{Q}[X]$ .) Hence,  $n \in \mathcal{A}_{F,G}$  implies  $g(n) \mid B$ , which is possible only for finitely many positive integers  $n$ .  $\square$

If  $r \geq 2$ , then for all integers  $x_1, \dots, x_r$  we set

$$D_F(x_1, \dots, x_r) := \det(\alpha_i^{x_j})_{1 \leq i, j \leq r},$$

and for any prime number  $p$  not dividing  $a_k$  we define  $T_F(p)$  as the greatest integer  $T \geq 0$  such that  $p$  does not divide

$$\prod_{1 \leq x_2, \dots, x_r \leq T} \max\{1, |N_{\mathbb{K}}(D_F(0, x_2, \dots, x_r))|\},$$

where the empty product is equal to 1, and  $N_{\mathbb{K}}(\alpha)$  denotes the norm of  $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . It is known that such  $T$  exists [9, p. 88]. If  $r = 1$ , then we set  $T_F(p) := +\infty$  for all prime numbers  $p$  not dividing  $a_1$ .

Finally, for all  $\gamma > 0$ , we define

$$\mathcal{P}_{F,\gamma} := \{p : p \nmid a_k, T_F(p) < p^\gamma\}.$$

The next lemma shows that  $T_F(p)$  is usually larger than a power of  $p$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** *For all  $\gamma \in ]0, 1/r]$  and  $x \geq 2^{1/\gamma}$ , we have*

$$\#\mathcal{P}_{F,\gamma}(x) \ll_F \frac{x^{r\gamma}}{\gamma \log x}.$$

*Proof.* See [2, Lemma 2.1]. □

From the previous estimate is easy to deduce the following bound.

**Lemma 2.4.** *We have*

$$\sum_{\substack{p > y \\ p \in \mathcal{P}_{F,\gamma}}} \frac{1}{pT_F(p)} \ll_F \frac{1}{y^{1/(r+1)}},$$

for all sufficiently large  $y$ .

*Proof.* We split the series into two parts, separating between prime numbers which belong to  $\mathcal{P}_{F,\gamma}$  and which do not. In the first case, by partial summation and Lemma 2.3, for a fixed  $\gamma \in ]0, 1/r[$ , we find

$$(2.2) \quad \sum_{\substack{p > y \\ p \in \mathcal{P}_{F,\gamma}}} \frac{1}{pT_F(p)} \leq \sum_{\substack{p > y \\ p \in \mathcal{P}_{F,\gamma}}} \frac{1}{p} = \left[ \frac{\#\mathcal{P}_{F,\gamma}(t)}{t} \right]_{t=y}^{+\infty} + \int_y^{+\infty} \frac{\#\mathcal{P}_{F,\gamma}(t)}{t^2} dt \ll_{F,\gamma} \frac{1}{y^{1-r\gamma}}.$$

On the other hand, in the second case we get

$$(2.3) \quad \sum_{\substack{p > y \\ p \notin \mathcal{P}_{F,\gamma}}} \frac{1}{pT_F(p)} \leq \sum_{p > y} \frac{1}{p^{1+\gamma}} \ll \int_y^{+\infty} \frac{dt}{t^{1+\gamma}} \ll_\gamma \frac{1}{y^\gamma}.$$

If we put  $\gamma := 1/(r+1)$  and collect together the estimates (2.2) and (2.3) we obtain the thesis. □

The next lemma is an upper bound in terms of  $T_F(p)$  for the number of solutions of a certain congruence modulo  $p$  involving  $F$ . The proof proceeds essentially like the one of [2, Lemma 2.2], which in turn relies on previous arguments given in [21] (see also [22] and [9, Theorem 5.11]). We include it for completeness.

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $p$  be a prime number dividing neither  $a_k$  nor the denominator of any of the coefficients of  $f_1, \dots, f_r$ . Moreover, let  $\ell \geq 0$  be an integer such that  $f_1(\ell), \dots, f_r(\ell)$  are not all zero modulo some prime ideal of  $\mathcal{O}_\mathbb{K}$  lying over  $p$ . Then, for all  $x > 0$ , the number of integers  $m \in [0, x]$  such that  $F(pm + \ell) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  is*

$$O_r \left( \frac{x}{T_F(p)} + 1 \right).$$

*Proof.* For  $r = 1$  the claim can be proved quickly using (2.1). Hence, assume  $r \geq 2$ . Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be an interval of  $T_F(p)$  consecutive non-negative integers, and let  $m_1 < \dots < m_s$  be all the integers  $m \in \mathcal{I}$  such that  $F(pm + \ell) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ . Also, let  $\pi$  be a prime ideal of  $\mathcal{O}_\mathbb{K}$  lying over  $p$ . Then, by (2.1), and since no denominator of the coefficients of  $f_1, \dots, f_r$  belongs to  $\pi$ , we have

$$(2.4) \quad \sum_{i=1}^r f_i(\ell) (\alpha_i)^{\ell+pm_1} (\alpha_i^p)^{m_j-m_1} \equiv \sum_{i=1}^r f_i(pm_j + \ell) \alpha_i^{pm_j+\ell} \equiv 0 \pmod{\pi},$$

for  $j = 1, \dots, s$ . By a result of Schlickewei [20], there exists a constant  $C(r)$ , depending only on  $r$ , such that for any  $B_1, \dots, B_r \in \mathbb{K}$ , not all zero, the exponential equation

$$\sum_{i=1}^r B_i \alpha_i^x = 0$$

has at most  $C(r)$  solutions in positive integers  $x$ . Suppose  $s \geq C(r) + r$ . Put  $x_1 := 0$  and, setting  $\mathcal{X}_2 := \{m_j - m_1 : j = 2, \dots, s\}$ , pick some  $x_2 \in \mathcal{X}_2$  such that

$$\det(\alpha_i^{x_j})_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2} \neq 0.$$

This is possible by the mentioned result of Schlickewei, since

$$\#\mathcal{X}_2 = s - 1 \geq C(r) + r - 1 > C(r).$$

For  $r \geq 3$ , set  $\mathcal{X}_3 := \mathcal{X}_2 \setminus \{x_2\}$  and pick  $x_3 \in \mathcal{X}_3$  such that

$$(2.5) \quad \det(\alpha_i^{x_j})_{1 \leq i, j \leq 3} \neq 0.$$

Again, this is still possible since, by the choice of  $x_2$ , (2.5) is a non-trivial exponential equation and

$$\#\mathcal{X}_3 = s - 2 \geq C(r) + r - 2 > C(r).$$

Continuing this way, after  $r - 1$  steps, we obtain integers  $x_2, \dots, x_r \in [1, T_F(p)[$  such that

$$(2.6) \quad D_F(0, x_2, \dots, x_r) \neq 0.$$

Now, since  $f_i(\ell)$  are not all zero modulo  $\pi$ , by (2.4) we get

$$\det(\alpha_i^{px_j})_{1 \leq i, j \leq r} \equiv 0 \pmod{\pi},$$

so that

$$N_{\mathbb{K}}(D_F(0, x_2, \dots, x_r))^p = N_{\mathbb{K}}(\det(\alpha_i^{x_j}))^p \equiv N_{\mathbb{K}}(\det(\alpha_i^{px_j})) \equiv 0 \pmod{p},$$

which is impossible by the definition of  $T_F(p)$  and condition (2.6). Hence,  $s < C(r) + r$  and the desired claim follows easily.  $\square$

We conclude this section with the next lemma.

**Lemma 2.6.** *If  $\gcd(G, f_1, \dots, f_r) = 1$  then there are only finitely many prime numbers  $p$  such that  $p \mid G(\ell)$ , for some integer  $\ell$ , and  $f_1(\ell), \dots, f_r(\ell)$  are all zero modulo some prime ideal of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}}$  lying over  $p$ .*

*Proof.* By Bézout's theorem for polynomials in  $\mathbb{K}[X]$ , there exist  $h_0, \dots, h_r \in \mathbb{K}[X]$  such that

$$Gh_0 + f_1h_1 + \dots + f_rh_r = 1.$$

Let  $B$  be a positive integer such that all the coefficients of  $Bh_0, \dots, Bh_r$  are algebraic integers. If  $\pi$  is a prime ideal of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}}$  lying over  $p$  such that  $f_1(\ell), \dots, f_r(\ell)$  are all zero modulo  $\pi$ , then

$$B \equiv BG(\ell)h_0(\ell) + Bf_1(\ell)h_1(\ell) + \dots + Bf_r(\ell)h_r(\ell) \equiv 0 \pmod{\pi},$$

since  $p \mid G(\ell)$ . Hence,  $p \mid B$  and this is possible only for finitely many primes  $p$ .  $\square$

### 3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.4

We begin by proving that  $\mathcal{A}_{F,G,h}$  has a natural density. First, in light of Lemma 2.1, without loss of generality, we can assume that  $F$  is non-degenerate and not identically zero, and that  $h = 1$ . By Lemma 2.2, if  $G, f_1, \dots, f_r$  share a non-trivial common factor then  $\mathcal{A}_{F,G}$  is finite and, obviously,  $\mathbf{d}(\mathcal{A}_{F,G}) = 0$ . Hence, assume  $\gcd(G, f_1, \dots, f_r) = 1$ .

Put  $\mathcal{C}_{F,G} := \mathbb{N} \setminus \mathcal{A}_{F,G}$  so that, equivalently, we have to prove that the natural density of  $\mathcal{C}_{F,G}$  exists. For each  $y > 0$ , we split  $\mathcal{C}_{F,G}$  into two subsets:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_{F,G,y}^- &:= \{n \in \mathcal{C}_{F,G} : p \mid \gcd(G(n), F(n)) \text{ for some } p \leq y\}, \\ \mathcal{C}_{F,G,y}^+ &:= \mathcal{C}_{F,G} \setminus \mathcal{C}_{F,G,y}^-. \end{aligned}$$

Recalling that  $F, G$  are definitively periodic modulo  $p$ , for any prime number  $p$ , we see that  $\mathcal{C}_{F,G,y}^-$  is a union of finitely many arithmetic progressions and a finite subset of  $\mathbb{N}$ .

In particular,  $\mathcal{C}_{F,G,y}^-$  has a natural density. If we put  $\delta_y := \mathbf{d}(\mathcal{C}_{F,G,y}^-)$ , then it is clear that  $\delta_y$  is a bounded non-decreasing function of  $y$ . Hence, the limit

$$(3.1) \quad \delta := \lim_{y \rightarrow +\infty} \delta_y$$

exists finite. We shall prove that  $\mathcal{C}_{F,G}$  has natural density  $\delta$ . If  $n \in \mathcal{C}_{F,G,y}^+(x)$  then there exists a prime  $p > y$  such that  $p \mid G(n)$  and  $p \mid F(n)$ . In particular, we can write  $n = pm + \ell$ , for some non-negative integers  $m \leq x/p$  and  $\ell < p$ , with  $p \mid G(\ell)$ . For sufficiently large  $y$ , how large depending only on  $F, G$ , we have that  $p$  divide neither  $a_k$  nor any of the denominators of the coefficients of  $f_1, \dots, f_r$ , and that, by Lemma 2.6, the terms  $f_1(\ell), \dots, f_2(\ell)$  are not all zero modulo some prime ideal of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}}$  lying over  $p$ . On the one hand, by Lemma 2.5, the number of possible values for  $m$  is

$$O_r \left( \frac{x}{pT_F(p)} + 1 \right).$$

On the other hand, for sufficiently large  $y$ , depending on  $G$ , the number of possible values for  $\ell$  is at most  $\deg(G)$ . Furthermore, we have  $p \ll_G x$ , since all the roots of  $G$  are in  $\mathbb{Q}$ . (Note that this property is preserved by the reduction to  $\tilde{G}$  in Lemma 2.1.) Therefore, setting  $\gamma := 1/(r+1)$ , we get

$$(3.2) \quad \#\mathcal{C}_{F,G,y}^+(x) \ll_{F,G} \sum_{y < p \leq Gx} \left( \frac{x}{pT_F(p)} + 1 \right) \ll_{F,G} \frac{x}{y^\gamma} + \frac{x}{\log x},$$

where we used Lemma 2.4 and Chebyshev's estimate for the number of primes not exceeding  $x$ . Thus, we obtain that

$$(3.3) \quad \limsup_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \left| \frac{\#\mathcal{C}_{F,G}(x)}{x} - \delta_y \right| = \limsup_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \left| \frac{\#\mathcal{C}_{F,G}(x)}{x} - \frac{\#\mathcal{C}_{F,G,y}^-(x)}{x} \right| \\ = \limsup_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\#\mathcal{C}_{F,G,y}^+(x)}{x} \ll_{F,G} \frac{1}{y^\gamma}.$$

Hence, letting  $y \rightarrow +\infty$  in (3.3) and using (3.1), we get that  $\mathcal{C}_{F,G}$  has natural density  $\delta$ .

At this point, assuming that  $G$  has no fixed divisors, it remains only to prove that the natural density of  $A_{F,G}$  is positive. In turn, this is equivalent to  $\delta < 1$ . Clearly,

$$\mathcal{C}_{F,G,y}^- \subseteq \{n \in \mathbb{N} : p \mid G(n) \text{ for some } p \leq y\}.$$

Hence, by standard sieving arguments (see, e.g., [11, §1.2.3, Eq. 3.3]), we have

$$\frac{\#\mathcal{C}_{F,G,y}^-(x)}{x} \leq 1 - \prod_{p \leq y} \left( 1 - \frac{\rho_G(p)}{p} \right) + O_G \left( \frac{1}{x} \sum_{d \mid P(y)} \rho_G(d) \right),$$

where  $P(y) := \prod_{p \leq y} p$ , while  $\rho_G$  is the completely multiplicative function supported on squarefree numbers and satisfying

$$\rho_G(p) := \frac{\#\{z \in \{1, \dots, p^{1+\nu_p(B)}\} : BG(z) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{1+\nu_p(B)}}\}}{p^{\nu_p(B)}},$$

for all prime numbers  $p$ , where  $B$  is a positive integer such that  $BG \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ . Since  $G$  has no fixed divisors, we have  $\rho_G(p) < p$  for all prime numbers  $p$ . Also,  $\rho_G(p) \leq \deg(G)$  for all sufficiently large prime numbers  $p$ . Therefore,

$$\prod_{p \leq y} \left( 1 - \frac{\rho_G(p)}{p} \right) \gg_G \frac{1}{(\log y)^{\deg(G)}},$$

if  $y$  is large enough, which implies that

$$(3.4) \quad \limsup_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\#\mathcal{C}_{F,G,y}^-(x)}{x} \leq 1 - \frac{c_1}{(\log y)^{\deg(G)}},$$



where  $c_1 > 0$  is a constant depending on  $G$ . Hence, putting together (3.3) and (3.4), we get

$$(3.5) \quad \delta = \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\#\mathcal{C}_{F,G}(x)}{x} \leq \limsup_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\#\mathcal{C}_{F,G,y}^-(x)}{x} + \limsup_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\#\mathcal{C}_{F,G,y}^+(x)}{x} \\ \leq 1 - \left( \frac{c_1}{(\log y)^{\deg(G)}} - \frac{c_2}{y^\gamma} \right),$$

where  $c_2 > 0$  is a constant depending on  $F, G$ . Finally, picking a sufficiently large  $y$ , depending on  $c_1$  and  $c_2$ , the bound (3.5) yields  $\delta < 1$ , as desired. The proof of Theorem 1.4 is complete.

#### 4. CONCLUDING REMARKS

**4.1. The case in which  $G$  has a fixed divisor.** Suppose that  $F$  is a non-degenerate integral linear recurrence and that  $G$  is an integer-valued polynomial with all roots in  $\mathbb{Q}$  and having a fixed divisor  $d > 1$ . In order to study  $\mathcal{A}_{F,G}$ , one could try to reduce from this general situation to the one where there is no fixed divisor, so that Theorem 1.4 can be applied. However, the strategy used in Lemma 2.1, that is, writing  $\mathcal{A}_{F,G}$  as the disjoint union of a finite set and finitely many sets of the form  $a\mathcal{A}_{\tilde{F},\tilde{G}} + b$ , this time does not work. The issue here is that the resulting polynomials  $\tilde{G}$  may have fixed divisors. For example, let  $F$  be the Fibonacci sequence and  $G(n) = n(n+1)$ , so that  $d = 2$ . Then,  $2 \nmid F(n)$  if and only if  $n \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{3}$ , so that  $\mathcal{A}_{F,G}$  is the disjoint union of  $\mathcal{A}_{\tilde{F}_1,\tilde{G}_1}$  and  $\mathcal{A}_{\tilde{F}_2,\tilde{G}_2}$ , where  $\tilde{F}_i(m) = F(3m+i)$  and  $\tilde{G}_i(m) = G(3m+i)/2$ , for  $i = 1, 2$ . Now,  $G_1(m) = (9m^2 + 9m + 2)/2$  has no fixed divisors, but  $G_2(m) = (9m^2 + 15m + 6)/2$  gained 3 as a new fixed divisor.

**4.2. The case in which  $G$  does not split over the rationals.** We note that there are examples of integral linear recurrences  $F$  and integer-valued polynomials  $G$ , not splitting over the rationals, such that  $\mathcal{A}_{F,G}$  has a positive density for elementary reasons. For instance, for the following couple

$$F(n) = (n^2 + 1)5^n + (n^2 + 2)3^n, \quad G(n) = (n^2 + 1)(n^2 + 2),$$

we have  $\mathcal{A}_{F,G} = \mathbb{N}$ . Indeed, suppose by contradiction that there exists a prime  $p$  dividing both  $F(n)$  and  $G(n)$ . Then,  $p \mid (n^2 + 1)$  or  $p \mid (n^2 + 2)$ , exclusively. In the first case, since  $p \mid F(n)$ , we get  $p \mid 3^n$ , that is,  $p = 3$ , which is not possible, since  $n^2 + 1$  is never a multiple of 3. The second case is similar.

However, except for those easy situations, we think that if  $G$  does not split over the rationals, then the study of  $\mathcal{A}_{F,G}$  requires different methods than those employed in this paper. In fact, if  $p \mid G(n)$  we can only say that  $p \ll_G x^{\deg(G)}$  and, for  $\deg(G) \geq 2$ , this does not allow one to conclude that  $\limsup_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \mathcal{C}_{F,G,y}^+(x)/x = o((\log y)^{-\deg(G)})$ , as  $y \rightarrow +\infty$ , which is a key step in the proof of Theorem 1.4. Actually, in the following we provide a heuristic for the claim that  $\mathcal{C}_{F,G,y}^+(x) \gg x$  for all  $y$ . First, we can split  $\mathcal{C}_{F,G,y}^+(x)$  into two parts: the first one is

$$\{n \leq x : \gcd(F(n), G(n)) \neq 1 \text{ and } p \mid \gcd(F(n), G(n)) \Rightarrow y < p \leq x\},$$

which can be handled as in (3.2), whereas the second one is

$$(4.1) \quad \{n \leq x : \exists p \mid \gcd(F(n), G(n)) \text{ with } p > x\},$$

which, by our heuristic, we believe it should have a cardinality  $\gg x$ .

For the sake of simplicity, we consider only the case where  $F$  is the Fibonacci sequence and  $G(n) = n^2 + 1$ . By a result of Everest and Harman about the existence of primitive divisors of quadratic polynomials [7, Theorem 1.4], we have

$$\#\{n \leq x : \exists p > x \text{ with } p \mid G(n)\} \gg x,$$



so that

$$\mathbb{P}_x[\exists p > x \text{ with } p \mid G(n)] \gg 1,$$

where we consider the events in the probability space  $([x], \mathcal{P}[x], \mathbb{P}_x)$ , with  $[x] = \{n \leq x\}$  and  $\mathbb{P}_x$  is the discrete uniform measure on  $[x]$ . Let  $z_F(m)$  be the least positive integer  $n$  such that  $m \mid F(n)$ . It is well known that  $p \mid F(n)$  if and only if  $z_F(p) \mid n$ . This means that  $\mathbb{P}_x[p \mid F(n)]$  is roughly  $1/z_F(p)$ . Therefore, interpreting the events of being divisible by different prime numbers as independent, we expect that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}_x[\exists p > x \text{ with } p \mid F(n)] &\geq 1 - \mathbb{P}_x[p \nmid F(n) \text{ for all } p \text{ with } x < p \leq x^2] \\ &= 1 - \prod_{p: x < p \leq x^2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{z_F(p)}\right) > 1 - \prod_{p: x < p \leq x^2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p+1}\right) > 1/2 + o(1), \end{aligned}$$

as  $x \rightarrow +\infty$ , since  $z_F(p) \leq p+1$  and thanks to Mertens' Theorem. Assuming independence between the events that a prime divides  $F(n)$  or  $G(n)$ , we deduce that the expected value of the cardinality of (4.1) is

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{n \leq x} \mathbb{P}_x[\exists p > x \text{ with } p \mid \gcd(F(n), G(n))] \\ &= \sum_{n \leq x} \mathbb{P}_x[\exists p > x \text{ with } p \mid F(n)] \cdot \mathbb{P}_x[\exists p > x \text{ with } p \mid G(n)] \gg x, \end{aligned}$$

as claimed.

## REFERENCES

1. N. Ailon and Z. Rudnick, *Torsion points on curves and common divisors of  $a^k - 1$  and  $b^k - 1$* , Acta Arith. **113** (2004), no. 1, 31–38.
2. J. J. Alba González, F. Luca, C. Pomerance, and I. E. Shparlinski, *On numbers  $n$  dividing the  $n$ th term of a linear recurrence*, Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. (2) **55** (2012), no. 2, 271–289.
3. R. André-Jeannin, *Divisibility of generalized Fibonacci and Lucas numbers by their subscripts*, Fibonacci Quart. **29** (1991), no. 4, 364–366.
4. Y. Bugeaud, P. Corvaja, and U. Zannier, *An upper bound for the G.C.D. of  $a^n - 1$  and  $b^n - 1$* , Math. Z. **243** (2003), no. 1, 79–84.
5. P. Corvaja and U. Zannier, *Diophantine equations with power sums and universal Hilbert sets*, Indag. Math. (N.S.) **9** (1998), no. 3, 317–332.
6. P. Corvaja and U. Zannier, *Finiteness of integral values for the ratio of two linear recurrences*, Invent. Math. **149** (2002), no. 2, 431–451.
7. G. Everest and G. Harman, *On primitive divisors of  $n^2 + b$* , Number theory and polynomials, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., vol. 352, pp. 142–154.
8. G. Everest, S. Stevens, D. Tamsett, and T. Ward, *Primes generated by recurrence sequences*, Amer. Math. Monthly **114** (2007), no. 5, 417–431.
9. G. Everest, A. van der Poorten, I. Shparlinski, and T. Ward, *Recurrence sequences*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 104, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2003.
10. C. Fuchs, *An upper bound for the G.C.D. of two linear recurring sequences*, Math. Slovaca **53** (2003), no. 1, 21–42.
11. G. Greaves, *Sieves in number theory*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (3)], vol. 43, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001.
12. S. Kim, *The density of the terms in an elliptic divisibility sequence having a fixed G.C.D. with their index*, (preprint), <https://arxiv.org/abs/1708.08357>.
13. P. Leonetti and C. Sanna, *On the greatest common divisor of  $n$  and the  $n$ th Fibonacci number*, Rocky Mountain J. Math. (accepted).
14. F. Luca and E. Tron, *The distribution of self-Fibonacci divisors*, Advances in the theory of numbers, Fields Inst. Commun., vol. 77, Fields Inst. Res. Math. Sci., Toronto, ON, 2015, pp. 149–158.
15. C. Sanna, *Distribution of integral values for the ratio of two linear recurrences*, J. Number Theory **180** (2017), 195–207.
16. C. Sanna, *On numbers  $n$  dividing the  $n$ th term of a Lucas sequence*, Int. J. Number Theory **13** (2017), no. 3, 725–734.
17. C. Sanna, *The moments of the logarithm of a G.C.D. related to Lucas sequences*, J. Number Theory (online ready), <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnt.2018.03.012>.

18. C. Sanna, *On numbers  $n$  relatively prime to the  $n$ th term of a linear recurrence*, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. (online ready), <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40840-017-0514-8>.
19. C. Sanna and E. Tron, *The density of numbers  $n$  having a prescribed G.C.D. with the  $n$ th Fibonacci number*, Indag. Math. (online ready), <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indag.2018.03.002>.
20. H. P. Schlickewei, *Multiplicities of recurrence sequences*, Acta Math. **176** (1996), no. 2, 171–243.
21. I. E. Shparlinski, *The number of different prime divisors of recurrent sequences*, Mat. Zametki **42** (1987), no. 4, 494–507, 622.
22. I. E. Shparlinski, *Number of different prime divisors of recurrent sequences*, Math. Notes **42** (1987), no. 4, 773–780.
23. C. Smyth, *The terms in Lucas sequences divisible by their indices*, J. Integer Seq. **13** (2010), no. 2, Article 10.2.4, 18.
24. L. Somer, *Divisibility of terms in Lucas sequences by their subscripts*, Applications of Fibonacci numbers, Vol. 5 (St. Andrews, 1992), Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1993, pp. 515–525.
25. A. J. van der Poorten, *Solution de la conjecture de Pisot sur le quotient de Hadamard de deux fractions rationnelles*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **306** (1988), no. 3, 97–102.

UNIVERSITY OF WARWICK, MATHEMATICS INSTITUTE, ZEEMAN BUILDING, COVENTRY, CV4 7AL, ENGLAND

*E-mail address:* danyastro93@hotmail.it

UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI TORINO, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TURIN, ITALY

*E-mail address:* carlo.sanna.dev@gmail.com